



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/454,978	12/03/1999	PETER E. RAYNER	CITI0128	4487

27510 7590 09/26/2002
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
607 14TH STREET, N.W.
SUITE 900
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

AKERS, GEOFFREY R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/454928

Applicant(s)

Raynor

Examiner

Hess, G

Group Art Unit

3624

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/3/99
- ☐ This action is FINAL.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application.
- ☐ Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
 - ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
 - ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 8, 5
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☒ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Page 178

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-65 have been reviewed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-65 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Ordish(US Pat. No: 5,727,165) in view of Gutterman(US Pat. No: 5,297,031).
4. As per claims 1-65 Ordish teaches a method for data management of a financial transaction(Abstract) which receives a request from a user for a proposed transaction(col 5 line 37-66). Ordish teaches a plurality of trading systems(Fig 1). Ordish teaches a trader's rate quote(col 5 line 65-col 6 line 8) as well as entry at a terminal(keystation)(col 5 lines 40-45) and timed match acknowledgement(Abstract) as well as forming counteroffers which include rejecting an initial offer(col 5 line 67-col 6 line 7) and reporting a trade in a period of time(col 6 lines 30-32) as well as a quote good for a known period of time(col 7 lines 14-19). Gutterman teaches timed open orders(col 4 lines 18-19) and that a workstation FIFO buffer receives orders from an entry system(col 7 lines 37-52)(col 8 lines 33-37).Gutterman further teaches market, contingency, stop, sell stop,stop limit, market if touched, alternative, scale, and contingent orders and spreads(col 3 line 25-col 4 line 65) in the quote process.Gutterman teaches generating a rate

Art Unit: 3624

quote consisting of one executable rate quote(col 1 lines 32-36) as well as time-stamping orders(col 2 lines 60-65) as well as orderly execution(col 3 lines 11-30) as well as entry at a terminal through a computer and ending with a printer(col 2 lines 65-68).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Ordish in view of Gutterman to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a system for efficient executions between the trading floor and a plurality of customers as enunciated by Gitterman(col 5 lines 49-55).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.**

6. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

GRA


September 21, 2002